

Arizona Supreme Court
Civil Petition for Review - Special Action

CV-25-0321-PR

HANNA v CITY OF PRESCOTT, et al (HANNA II)

Appellate Case Information

Case Filed: 6-Dec-2025
 Case Closed:

Dept/Composition

Side 1. SHERRIE HANNA, Petitioner

(Litigant Group) Sherrie Hanna

- Sherrie Hanna

Attorneys for: Petitioner

Ryan L Heath, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 36276)

Side 2. CITY OF PRESCOTT; MAYOR PHIL GOODE; COUNCIL MEMBERS TED GAMBOGI; BRANDON MONTOYA; CONNIE CANTELME; CITY ATTORNEY JOSEPH D. YOUNG and PIERCE COLEMAN, PLLC, Respondent

(Litigant Group) City of Prescott, Councilmembers Goode, Gambogi, Montoya, Moore, Cantelme, Furhwirth, Joseph Young

- City of Prescott
- Phil Goode
- Ted Gambogi
- Brandon Montoya
- Connie Cantelme
- Joseph Young
 AZ Bar No. 24027
 [Current Member]

Attorneys for: Respondent

Justin S Pierce, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 22646)
 Anna Christina Estes-Werther, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 25075)
 Reilly K Cunnington, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 40451)

CASE STATUS

Dec 6, 2025.....Pending

PREDECESSOR CASE (S)	Cause/Charge/Class	Judgment/Sentence	Judge, Role <Comments>	Trial	Dispo
1 CA 1 CA-SA 25-0332					
YAV	S1300CV202501145		Michael P McGill, Authoring Judge of Order Comments: (none)		

12 PROCEEDING ENTRIES

- 6-Dec-2025 FILED: Petition for Review (Hanna II); Certificate of Service; Certificate of Compliance; Order Declining Special Action Jurisdiction; Under Advisement Ruling Denying Temporary Restraining Order (Filed YavCSC 11/20/25) (Petitioner Hanna)
- 6-Dec-2025 FILED: Untitled Document (Treated as an Appendix); Certificate of Service (Petitioner Hanna)
- 10-Dec-2025 FILED: Record from CofA: Electronic Record
- 16-Dec-2025 RECEIPT No.: 2025-00337 ; \$330.00, Authorization: 8743691823517199, Applied to: Sherrie Hanna - Class A Filing Fee (\$330.00) Paid for: Sherrie Hanna - By nCourt LLC
- 18-Dec-2025 FILED: Petitioner's Motion to Accelerate Consideration of Petition for Review, for Temporary Stay of Proceedings in the Superior Court, and Requests for Judicial Notice; Certificate of Service; Exhibits A-C.pdf (Petitioner Hanna)
- 7-Jan-2026 FILED: Respondents'/Defendants' Response to Petitioner's Petition for Review of a Special Action Decision of The Court of Appeals; Certificate of Service; Certificate of Compliance (Respondents City of Prescott, et al.)
- 9-Jan-2026 FILED: Petitioner's Motion to Disregard Specific Misstatements of the Record and Order a Corrected Response; Certificate of Service; Exhibit A (Petitioner Hanna)

Arizona Supreme Court
Civil Petition for Review - Special Action

CV-25-0321-PR

HANNA v CITY OF PRESCOTT, et al (HANNA II)

12 PROCEEDING ENTRIES

8. 9-Jan-2026 The Court has received Petitioner Sherrie Hanna's Motion to Accelerate Consideration [sic] of Petition for Review, For Temporary Stay of Proceedings in the Superior Court, and Requests for Judicial Notice.
- In her motion, Petitioner asks the Court to accelerate consideration of her petitions for review in Case Nos. CV-25-0320-PR ("Hanna I") and in CV-25-0321-PR ("Hanna II"). Petitioner also asks the Court to stay the trial proceedings in Yavapai County Superior Court Case Nos. S1300CV202501125 (which underlies the petition for review in Hanna I) and S1300CV202501145 (which underlies the petition for review in this matter, Hanna II). Petitioner further asks that the Court take judicial notice of certain public records and superior court filings, as well as that the Court order expedited briefing and resolution in her pending matters.
- The Court has also received Respondents' responses to Petitioner's petitions for review in Hanna I and Hanna II. In their responses, Respondents argue, inter alia, that the superior court correctly denied Petitioner's requests for injunctive relief.
- A party seeking a stay on appeal must establish "1. a strong likelihood of success on the merits; 2. irreparable harm if the stay is not granted; 3. that the harm to the requesting party outweighs the harm to the party opposing the stay; and 4. that public policy favors the granting of the stay." *Smith v. Arizona Citizens Clean Elections Comm'n*, 212 Ariz. 407, 410 ¶ 10 (2006).
- Upon consideration en banc, the Court concludes that Petitioner has not established that she is entitled to a stay of proceedings below. Further, the Court in its discretion concludes that Petitioner has not demonstrated that accelerated consideration is warranted in either Hanna I or Hanna II.
- Accordingly,
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Petitioner's Motion to Accelerate Consideration [sic] of Petition for Review, For Temporary Stay of Proceedings in the Superior Court, and Requests for Judicial Notice is denied.
- IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner's requests for judicial notice and for an expedited scheduling order are denied as moot.
- Having already received the responses to Petitioner's petitions for review in both Hanna I and Hanna II, the Court will consider Petitioner's petitions for review in due course. (Hon. John R Lopez IV)
9. 13-Jan-2026 The Court has received Petitioner's Motion to Disregard Specific Mistatements [sic] of the Record and Order a Corrected Response. In the motion, Petitioner argues that Respondents have made material misstatements in their response to the petition for review, and she asks that the Court order Respondents to file a corrected response. Petitioner also requests oral argument and asks for expedited relief. Upon consideration,
- IT IS ORDERED that Petitioner's request that the motion be considered on an expedited basis is denied. The Court will consider Petitioner's motion in due course. (Hon. John R Lopez IV)
10. 20-Jan-2026 RECEIPT No.: 2026-00013 ; \$165.00, Authorization: 8567995277198353, Applied to: City of Prescott, Councilmembers Goode, Gambogi, M - Overpayment Class B (\$165.00) Paid for: City of Prescott, Councilmembers Goode, Gambogi, Montoya, Moore, Cantelme, Furhwirth, Joseph Young - By nCourt LLC
11. 23-Jan-2026 FILED: Respondents' Response to Petitioner's Motion to Disregard Misstatements; Certificate of Service (Respondent)
12. 17-Feb-2026 FILED: Return of Payment in the amount of \$165.00, State of Arizona Warrant No. 114883 dated 1/23/2026 to Pierce Coleman PLLC for "Respondents'/Defendants' Response to Petitioner's Petition for Review of a Special Action Decision of The Court of Appeals" filed by Respondents City of Prescott, (See Dkt No. 6)